settled ministers in the state. — Mr Walcutt ought to be most respectfully remembered. He has been an early and faithful friend of the cause. Indeed he has been a confessor for I doubt not he has lost one, if not two parishes, because of his fidelity. (By the bye I wish you could give him some employment, for I believe he is in a suffering state)

Dr Follen now of New York early espoused our cause. and perhaps lost his place at Cambridge in consequence. —

South Scituate
Mass Dec 28

6

Rev Amos A. Phelps. —
25 Cornhill —
Boston.

Mr Fox of Newburyport is very much opposed
to all Associations. — Of Mr Peabody of
Portsmouth I have strong hopes

Mr Dewey of N. York has done nothing — Neither has Mr Furness of Philadelphia — or Mr Hosmer of Buffalo. But Mr Thomas of Concord N. H. and Mr Ingersoll of Burlington Vt have expressed in various ways considerable interest. I have very probably omitted the names of some — but no others in any part of the country that I know of are abolitionists.

Yours affectionately
Samuel J. May —

Mr Colman is an abolitionist

Dr Ripley of Concord has favored anti slavery lectures & at times spoken with a good deal of strength in our behalf but has not joined any anti Slavery Society. Of his colleague Mr Frost I am not able to speak. — Mr Robinson of Groton is opposed. — Mr Peabody dislikes Mr Garrison & feels personally injured by him. Mr Stearns of Northampton, Mr Parkman of Greenfield, Mr Fessenden of Deerfield, and the minister of Northfield have been silent. — The Professors at Cambridge are and have been, all of them, opposed excepting Dr Henry Ware jr. He united in the call for the first Anti Slavery Convention (N.E.) and joined the Cambridge Anti Slavery Society — much to the annoyance of "the friends of the College." &c —

[Bay Assocn]

In this region of the state, the ministers have made no opposition although few of them have taken an active part. They are Dr Kendall of Plymouth Mr Allen of Pembroke, Mr Moore of Duxbury, Mr Sweet of Kingston, Mr Leonard of N. Marshfield Mr Brooks of Hingham, Mr Phipps of Cohasset, Mr Sewall of Scituate, and Mr May of S. Scituate. These constitute the Bay Association. Of these, Mr Sewall, Mr Leonard & Mr May are members of anti-slavery Societies — and Mr Phipps is known to be an abolitionists. The rest are not opposed, though they are not in favor. —

[Other parts of State]

Mr Merrick of Sandwich is a decided abolitionist, & member of the Society there. Mr Stone of W. Bridgewater, Mr Daggett of S. Bridgewater, Mr Deans of E. Bridgewater "like our principles but do not like our measures" — they think Dr Channing is in all respects just about right. Of Mr Bridge of Norton I know nothing. — Mr Bigelow of Taunton, and Mr Doggett of Raynham are vehemently opposed. Mr B. a member of the A. Union. Mr Storer of Walpole is opposed. — So much for the pecially Dr Parkman and Dr Frothingham — Not one of the other ministers, I have named, has ever manifested any interest in the cause excepting Mr Muzzey of Cambridge Port. He is a member of the Anti slavery Society there. And Mr Hall of Dorchester, who I have no doubt is an abolitionist, ~~but~~ although he may not have joined any society. And Mr Robbins of Lynn who is I believe a member of the Anti Slavery Socy but an inactive one. Mr Putnam of Roxbury two years ago preached a sermon that savored much of abolitionism; but he afterwards went to the South for his health — and when he returned recanted. Mr Stetson of Medford, when I first went to Boston as the General Agent of the M. A. S. Society, wrote me a very friendly note expressing his warm interest in the cause I had espoused. He has preached several times in a style sufficiently bold to give rise to the report that he was an abolitionist — but he has not yet identified himself with us. He stands very much as Pierpont does. — Mr Ripley and Mr Ware of Waltham I presume have not yet moved so much as a finger to help us. But Mr Ware's predecessor Bernard Whitman was, as early as 1833, a decided abolitionist and had he lived would have been an earnest defender of y cause.

Salem & vicinity

The ministers of Salem are Drs Flint & Brazer and Messrs Upham and Thompson. The two D.Ds I believe are opposed. Mr Upham has given utterance to several very anti slavery sentiments but neither he nor Thompson would be willing to be ranked with us. Mr Sewall of Danvers has been for several years — four or five — an avowed abolitionist & member of the Society. Mr Bartlett of Marblehead, Mr Thayer of Beverley Mr Loring of Andover, Mr Gage of Haverhill, Mr Miles of Lowell have neither of them shown any interest in the cause, nor any decided hostility.

113

Rev. S. J. May

PHELPS MSS.

South Scituate Dec. 26. 37

Dear Brother.

A few days since I sent you a letter from my cousin of Leicester, giving the information you have requested respecting the ministers of the Unitarian denomination in Worcester County.

Of my brethren in Boston, and its immediate vicinity, you doubtless know as much as I do. They are Drs Channing, Parkman, Frothingham, Lowell and Messrs Pierpont, Motte, Young, Gannett, Ripley Greenwood, Barrett, Robbins and Bartol in the city, and Dr Walker of Charlestown, Dr Pierce of Brooklyn, Dr Francis of Watertown, Dr Gray & Mr Whitney of Jamaica Plains & Dr Harris of Dorchester — Mr Muzzey of Cambridge Port — Mr Green of East Cambridge Mr Putnam of Roxbury, Mr Hall & Mr Cunningham of Dorchester — Mr Whitney & Mr Lunt of Quincy Mr Austin of Brighton, Mr Blanchard of Natick Mr Newell of Cambridge, Mr Angier of Milton, Mr Alger of Chelsea, Mr Field of Weston, Mr Robbins of Lynn Stetson &c. — Of these Dr Channing has done the most and more than all the rest. What and how he has done it you know. — Mr Pierpont and Mr Motte have each of them preached Anti Slavery sermons, near enough to the truth to give offence to many of their congregations. But they have not joined anti slavery societies — because I suppose they have believed they could work best in their own way. Mr Robbins was a member of the first N. E. Anti Slavery Convention — and always expresses an interest in the cause — but &c &c. Excepting those just named all the Boston ministers are opposed, some of them bitterly, es-